

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,589	11/25/2003	Ehud Cohen	06727/100J782-US5	5681
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 01/05/2007 RBY P.C.		EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 525	7		BOCKELMAN, MARK	
NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3766	<del>-</del>
				*
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	$\mathcal{N}_1$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/722,589	COHEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark W. Bockelman	3766			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fire, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status ·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 C	October 2006.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-54 and 56-134</u> is/are pending in t	he application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-54 and 56-134</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not rece	eivea.			
Amach aut/a)		ž •			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)					
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9-5-2006</u>.</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	аі Расепт Арріісасіоп			

Application/Control Number: 10/722,589

Art Unit: 3766

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 35-54, 56-134 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The terms orthodromic and antidromic are not found in the original specification of the current application or the parent application. The original specification appears to deal with efferent and afferent fibers and not orthodromic and antidromic directions of an individual nerve. No description of how his is accomplished is provided.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,589

Art Unit: 3766

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 34-54, 56-86 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,684,105.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claim is very close to claim 21 and merely states that both forms of nerve stimulation/supression are with held.

Claims 87-134 rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1- of U.S. Patent No. 6,684,105 in view ofTerry, Jr et al USPN. 5215086. Applicant differs in providing a sensor for feedback stimulation as well as the ability to discriminate between different diameter fibers. Such inclusions for unidirectional simulation systems are well known with Terry et al being cited as but one example.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272 -6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,589

Art Unit: 3766

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**MWB** 

December 22, 2006

MARK BOCKELMAN PELMASY FXMIN 48